

DTIC FILE COP

2

AFOSR-TR. 89-0190

AD-A205 283

Final Report  
Grant AFOSR-84-0112  
Stochastic Adaptive Control  
and  
Estimation Enhancement  
Y. Bar-Shalom  
July, 1988



|                    |                                     |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Accession For      |                                     |
| NTIS GRA&I         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unannounced        | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Justification      |                                     |
| By _____           |                                     |
| Distribution/      |                                     |
| Availability Codes |                                     |
| Dist               | Avail and/or Special                |
| A-1                |                                     |

DTIC  
ELECTE  
S 5 FEB 1989 D  
a E

This document has been approved  
for public release and sales in  
distribution is unlimited.

89 2 15 131

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

|  |       |  |  |  |                  |
|--|-------|--|--|--|------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>UNCLASSIFIED   |       |  | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS   |  |                  |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY  |       |  | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. |  |                  |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE  |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)  |       |  | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>AFOSR-TR- 89-0190                               |  |                  |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>Univ. of Conn.<br>ESE Dept.   |       | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)   | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION<br>Air Force Office of Scientific Research /NM             |  |                  |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Box U-157<br>Storrs, CT 06268  |       | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Directorate of Mathematical & Information<br>Sciences, Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448 |  |  |                  |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION<br>AFOSR   |       | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>(If applicable)<br>NM   | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>AFOSR-84-0112                               |  |                  |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448  |       | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.   |  |  |                  |
|  |       | PROGRAM<br>ELEMENT NO.<br>61102F   | PROJECT<br>NO.<br>2304   | TASK<br>NO.<br>A-1                                 | WORK UNIT<br>NO. |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)<br>Stochastic Adaptive Control and Estimation Enhancement  |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)<br>Y. Bar-Shalom  |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT<br>Final   |       | 13b. TIME COVERED<br>FROM 06/01/84 TO 05/31/88   |  | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)<br>1988 July 31 |                  |
| 15. PAGE COUNT<br>8  |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION   |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 17. COSATI CODES   |       |  | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)              |  |                  |
| FIELD  | GROUP | SUB. GR.   |  |  |                  |
|  |       |  |  |  |                  |
|  |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br>New results were obtained in the following areas: (a) stochastic dual control applied to a guidance problem where it enhances target discrimination; (b) stochastic adaptive control based on sensitivity functions for enhanced real-time system parameter identification; (c) state estimation in hybrid systems characterized by Markov and semi-Markov jumps with applications to target tracking and failure detection; (d) piecewise diffusion Markov processes. |       |  |  |  |                  |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br>UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>  |       |  | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>UNCLASSIFIED   |  |                  |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>Major James Crowley   |       | 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER<br>(Include Area Code)<br>(202) 767-5037   |  | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>NM                           |                  |

The main results obtained and published during the period covered by this report, June 1984 - May 1988, are described below together with references given to the corresponding publication.

1. Dual Control Guidance for Simultaneous Identification and Interception

(by K. Birmiwai and Y. Bar-Shalom in Automatica, Vol. 20, No. 6, pp. 737-749, Nov. 1984)

An adaptive dual-control guidance algorithm was developed for intercepting a moving target in the presence of an interfering target (decoy) in a stochastic environment. Two sequences of measurements are obtained at discrete points in time; however, it is not certain which sequence came from the target of interest and which from the decoy. Associated with each track, the interceptor also receives noisy, state-dependent feature measurements. The optimum control for the interceptor, which is given by the solution of the stochastic dynamic programming equation, is not numerically feasible to obtain. An approximate solution of this equation is obtained by evaluating the value of the future information gathering. This is done through the use of preposterior analysis: approximate prior probability densities are obtained and used to describe the future learning and control. In this way, the interceptor control is used for information gathering in order to reduce the future target and decoy inertial measurement errors and enhance the observable target/decoy feature differences for subsequent discrimination between the true target and the decoy. Simulation studies have shown the effectiveness of the scheme.

## 2. Dual Adaptive Control Based Upon Sensitivity Functions

(by J.A. Molusis, P. Mookerjee, Y. Bar-Shalom, in Proc. 23rd IEEE Conference on Decision & Control, Dec. 1984)

A new adaptive dual control solution was developed for the control of a class of multi-variable input-output systems. Both rapidly varying random parameters and constant but unknown parameters are included. The new controller modifies the cautious control design by numerator and denominator correction terms. This controller is shown to depend upon sensitivity functions of the expected future cost. A scalar example is presented to provide insight into the properties of the new dual controller. Monte-Carlo simulations are performed which show improvement over the cautious controller and the previous Linear Feedback Dual Controller.

## 3. Dual Control and Prevention of the Turn-Off Phenomenon in a Class of MIMO Systems

(by P. Mookerjee, Y. Bar-Shalom, and J.A. Molusis, in Proc. 24th IEEE Conference on Decision & Control, Dec. 1985)

The recently developed methodology of adaptive dual control based upon sensitivity functions was applied here to a multi-variable input-output model. The plant has constant but unknown parameters. It represents a simplified linear version of the relationship between the vibration output and the higher harmonic control input for a helicopter. The cautious and the new dual controller are examined. In many instances, the cautious controller is seen to turn off. The new dual controller modifies the cautious control design by numerator and denominator correction terms which depend upon the sensitivity functions of the expected future cost and

avoids the turn-off and burst phenomena. Monte-Carlo simulations and statistical tests of significance indicate the superiority of the dual controller over the cautious and the heuristic certainty equivalence controllers.

#### 4. An Adaptive Dual Controller for a MIMO-ARMA System

(by P. Mookerjee and Y. Bar-Shalom, in Proc. 1986 IEEE Conference on Decision & Control, to appear in IEEE Trans. Auto. Control, April 1989)

An adaptive dual controller was developed for a multi-input multi-output dynamic system. The plant has constant but unknown parameters. The cautious and a new dual controller are examined. In many instances, the cautious controller is seen to turn off. The dual controller modifies the cautious control design by numerator and denominator correction terms which depend upon the sensitivity functions of the expected future cost and avoids the turn-off and slow convergence. Monte-Carlo comparisons based on parametric and nonparametric statistical analysis indicate the superiority of the dual controller over the cautious controller.

#### 5. Sojourn Time Distribution in a Class of Semi-Markov Chains

(by P. Mookerjee, L. Campo, and Y. Bar-Shalom, in Proc. 1987 Conf. on Information Sciences and Systems, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, March 1987.

The estimation and control of a plant with stochastic parameters subject to random input disturbances and measurement errors can be handled by adopting the usual techniques of state/parameter estimation and choosing an appropriate control policy, when the parameters are constant or slowly varying with time. Not all

practical processes can, however, be solved as above. There are numerous examples of systems which have discrete models that randomly vary with time and experience switchings between different models after a random sojourn time. In some situations the switching probabilities depend on the sojourn time. Such instances are commonly experienced in target tracking, manufacturing systems, power industry and also in the socio-economic environment. In such a system, knowledge of the sojourn time (in the form of a conditional distribution) is needed to infer the transition probabilities. In this paper we derive the conditional sojourn time distribution for systems with imperfect observations and changing structures of models.

#### 6. State Estimation for Systems with Sojourn-Time-Dependent Markov Model-Switching

(by L. Campo, P. Mookerjee, and Y. Bar-Shalom, in Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, Dec. 1987; to appear in IEEE Trans. Auto. Control)

There are numerous examples of systems which have discrete models that randomly vary with time and experience switchings between different models after a random sojourn time. In some situations the switching probabilities depend on the sojourn time. Such a switching process, discussed in this paper, is a class of semi-Markov processes and is encountered in target tracking, systems subject to failures, and also in the socio-economic environment. In such a system, the transition probabilities depend on the sojourn time. It is shown in this paper how one can infer the transition probabilities via the evaluation of the conditional distribution of the sojourn time.

Subsequently, using the conditional sojourn time distribution for dynamic systems with imperfect observations and changing structures or models, a recursive state estimation for such systems is presented.

#### 7. The Interacting Multiple Model Algorithm for Systems with Markovian Coefficients

(by H.A.P. Blom and Y. Bar-Shalom, IEEE Trans. Auto. Control, Aug. 1988)

A major problem in filtering for linear systems with Markovian switching coefficients is the problem of hypotheses management. For this dynamic multiple model situation the paper presents a novel approach to hypotheses merging. The novelty lies in an alternative timing of hypotheses merging. Applied to the problem of filtering in a linear system with Markovian coefficients it yields an elegant way to derive the IMM (Interacting Multiple Model) algorithm. After its derivation follows a further evaluation of the IMM algorithm and a comparison with other algorithms. This makes clear that the IMM performs very well at a relatively low computational load. These results imply a significant change to the picture of suboptimal Bayesian filtering for systems with Markovian coefficients.

#### 8. From Piecewise Deterministic to Piecewise Diffusion Markov Processes

(by H.A.P. Blom, in Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, Dec. 1988)

Piecewise Deterministic (PD) Markov Processes form a remarkable class of hybrid state processes because, in contrast to most other hybrid state processes, they include a jump reflecting boundary and

exclude diffusion. As such, they cover a wide variety of impulsively or singularly controlled non-diffusion processes. Because PD processes are defined in a pathwise way, they provide a framework to study the control of non-diffusion processes along the same lines as that of diffusions. An important generalization is to include diffusion in PD processes, but, as pointed out by Davis, combining diffusion with a jump reflecting boundary seems not possible within the present definition of PD processes. This paper presents PD processes as pathwise unique solutions of an IT stochastic differential equation (SDE), driven by a Poisson random measure. Since such an SDE permits the inclusion of diffusion, this approach leads to a large variety of piecewise diffusion Markov processes, represented by pathwise unique SDE solutions.

#### 9. Failure Detection via Recursive Estimation for a Class of Semi-Markov Switching Systems

(by L. Campo, P. Mookerjee, and Y. Bar-Shalom, Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, Dec. 1988)

Systems with parameters that change in value due to component failures can be represented by a discrete set of models. For systems where the model switching process is Semi-Markov, a Semi-Markov based estimation scheme is required to obtain the optimal state estimate. The "sojourn-time-dependent Markov" (STDM) model switching is of the Semi-Markov type. Our recently developed STDM-based multiple-model recursive estimator computes conditional model probabilities which can be used to determine when a failure model is in effect. We show through an example that this estimator is better able to determine which model is in effect than the Markov-based multiple-model



algorithm and thus the STDm-based algorithm is a more reliable failure detection scheme. Our example also shows that the STDm-based algorithm gives a better state estimate, in terms of the rms error, than a Markov-based scheme.

#### 10. Time-Reversion of a Hybrid State Stochastic Difference System with Jump-Linear Smoothing Application

(by H.A.P. Blom and Y. Bar-Shalom, submitted to IEEE International Conf. on Control and Applications, April 1989 and IEEE Trans. Auto. Control)

The reversion in time of a stochastic difference equation in a hybrid space, with a Markovian solution, is presented. The reveresion is obtained by a martingale approach, which previously led to reverse time forms for stochastic equations with Gauss-Markov or diffusion solutions. The reverse time equations follow from a particular non-canonical martingale decomposition, while the reverse time equations for Gauss-Markov and diffusion solutions followed from the canonical martingale decomposition. The need for this non-canonical decomposition stems from the hybrid state space situation. Moreover, the non-Gaussian discrete time situation leads to reverse time equations that incorporate a Bayesian estimation step. The latter step is carried out for linear systems with Markovian switching coefficients, and the result is shown to provide the solution to the problem of fixed-interval smoothing. For an application of this smoothing approach to a trajectory with sudden maneuvers, simualtion results are given to illustrate the practical use of the reverse time equations obtained.